



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,419	08/04/2003	Naoya Nakanishi	SNY-037	2557
20374 V.I.D.O.V.C.IV. 8	7590 09/20/2007	EXAMINER		
KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK SUITE 710			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
900 17TH STR WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wildimidia			1745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/633,419	NAKANISHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN THE STATE OF THE STAT	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 July 2007</u> .					
,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5,7-10,13 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) 3,5 and 13 is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>7-10</u> is/are rejected.					
′=	Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :				
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 II S C & 11	19(a) (d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 1	19(a)-(u) 01 (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
Attachmen	ut(e)					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	lail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mal Patent Application			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This Office action addresses claims 3, 5, 7-10, 13, and newly added claim 14. Claims 3, 5, and 13 are allowed and claim 14 is objected to as containing allowable subject matter. Claims 7-10 are newly rejected under 35 USC 103 as necessitated by amendment. Accordingly, this action is made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanafusa et al (U.S. Patent 6,531,246) in view of Yamazaki et al (U.S. Patent 6,632,538) in view of Benson et al (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2003/0027039).

Hanafusa teaches a battery comprising a can (1) and having positive and negative terminals (21, 20). The battery can may be made of aluminum (see col. 8, line 26) or stainless steel (col. 6, line 23). As shown in Figure 7, the terminal 21 is in contact with a coating layer comprising the can material (5). As shown in Figure 15, the terminal 20 is coated with a material (17b) comprising nickel, copper, or aluminum (see col. 11, line 45).

Hanafusa does not expressly teach the base material composition of the terminals as recited in claims 1, 3, and 5.

Yamazaki et al. is directed to a lithium secondary battery. In column 2, line 54, the reference teaches the following:

Art Unit: 1745

The tab 59 connected to the positive terminal 55 is a metal tab of Al or a stainless steel, and the tab 60 connected to the negative terminal 56 is a metal tab of Cu, Ni or a stainless steel

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to use aluminum as the positive terminal and stainless steel as the negative terminal of Hanafusa et al. The disclosure of Yamazaki et al. indicates that these are suitable materials for use as positive and negative terminals. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use has generally been held to be *prima facie* obvious (MPEP §2144.07). Taking terminal 21 of Hanafusa to be the positive terminal and terminal 20 to be the negative terminal, with regard to claim 8, this would result in an aluminum battery can, a stainless steel negative terminal (20), an aluminum positive terminal (21), and a coating layer (17b) of aluminum (see Fig. 15).

Regarding claim 9, the can may be stainless steel, the positive terminal (21) would be aluminum, the negative terminal (20) would be stainless steel, and the coating layer on the positive terminal (21) would also be stainless steel (see Fig. 7). As such, the claimed subject matter would be rendered obvious.

Regarding claim 7, which recites two batteries connected in series, it would be obvious to connect the batteries of Hanafusa in series to increase the voltage of a single battery. However, the reference does expressly teach that the terminals are in direct contact with each other.

In Figures 4A-4F, Benson et al. teach various configurations for connecting flat batteries in series, where the terminals of opposite polarity are in direct contact with each other.

Therefore, it is submitted that the artisan would be sufficiently skilled to connect the batteries of Hanafusa in the manner disclosed by Benson et al. Such direct terminal contact

Art Unit: 1745

would eliminate the use of leads and bus bars and would provide for a compact multi-battery structure. As such, this limitation would be rendered obvious.

## Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (571) 272-1292. The phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/633,419 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

September 13, 2007